

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 9, 2001

LB 620

Auditor commissioning the audit, the audit would be...or, instead of the Auditor commissioning the audit, it would be commissioned by the Tax Commissioner. There are provisions added that you would not find in LB 507, if you looked at that, concerning the qualifications of the accounting firm. I think those are the kinds of qualifications that we would have found as we attempted to find a private firm to conduct the type of audit. Obviously, they have to avoid conflicts of interest and that's primarily what you'll find with regard to those provisions. The scope of the audit that is to be conducted is a little narrower in the amendment that we're proposing, but I still...but is I hope adequate to accomplish our purposes, and our purposes were...are, in fact, twofold. One is to assure ourselves that the program is being administered in accordance with state law and, secondly, to provide us with additional information that we could use in our ongoing analysis of these programs that will provide information that can be fed into our economic models. I believe that we have been able to establish an appropriate balance between the kind of information and the sources of information that we would need to determine whether the program is being administered correctly and to obtain whatever additional information we can that can be used in our economic models, while still maintaining confidentiality for the companies that are participating in the programs. So you have some additional language that is added to meet those objectives, some of it is directed at the way in which information can be requested, additional information outside of the applications. And the other submittals that they would have routinely made to the Department of Revenue, I think we have worked those out so that the audit firm can request additional information from the companies that are participating. That request would go through the Department of Revenue. It would come to the audit team subject to all of the confidentiality provisions, but the firm does have access to records of the department and the companies in order to complete its work. There is a requirement that information that is provided by the Department of Revenue be examined only on the premises of the Department of Revenue. Obviously, that's a confidentiality issue. There is, and this may seem a little bit strange, a penalty in the provisions for removing documents or copies of documents from...in fact, copying is prohibited, so you can't remove documents from the